

Scholarly Communication: Evolution or Revolution?



A Selective Bibliography

Compiled by

Marion Prudlo, Borough of Manhattan Community College

The Association of College & Research Libraries
Greater New York Metropolitan Area Chapter
24th Annual Symposium
December 3rd, 2004

- [n. a.]. (2002). Biomed Central partners with French INIST for open access archiving. *Online Libraries & Microcomputers*, 20(12), 2.
- [n. a.]. (2002). Budapest open access initiative. *Online Libraries & Microcomputers*, 20(5), 1.
- [n. a.]. (2003). Organizations laud open access publishing venture. *Information Today*, 20(10), 14.
- [n. a.]. (2003). Open source in Sweden. *Online*, 27(4), 6.
- [n.a.]. (2004). American Scientist Open Access Forum. Retrieved September 17, 2004, from <http://listserver.sigmaxi.org/sc/wa.exe?A0=american-scientist-open-access-forum&D=0&F=I&H=0&O=T&S=&T=1>
- [n. a.]. (2004, May). Library groups back open access to science. *American Libraries*, 35(5), 22.
- [n. a.]. (2004). Physician health thyself: the library and information science serials crisis. *Portal: Libraries & the Academy*, 4(2), 167.
- [n. a.]. (2004). The high price of knowledge. *Wilson Quarterly*, 28(2), 103.



- [n. a.]. (2004). Electronic publications and information. *Serials Librarian*, 45(4), 12.
- [n. a.]. (2004). SPARC and PLoS partner to advocate for open access publishing. *Computers in Libraries*, 24(1), 41.
- [n. a.]. (2004). Is open access wining? *Library & Information Update*, 3(1), 3.
- [n. a.]. (2004). National Library of the Netherlands and BioMed Central agree to open access archive. *The Electronic Library*, 22(1), 94.
- [n. a.]. (2004). IFLA statement on open access to scholarly literature and research documentation. *Information Development*, 20(1), 22.
- [n. a.]. (2004). House committee encourages open-access publishing. *Educause Review*, 39(5), 6.
- [n. a.]. (2004). Springer launches 'open choice' model, stops short of open access. *Electronic Information Report*, 25(29), 6.
- [n. a.]. (2004). Access all areas. *Economist*, (372)8387, 64.
- Albanese, A. (2003). Open access gains with PLoS launch. *Library Journal*, 128(19), 18.
- Albanese, A. (2003). Bills would boost the public domain. *Library Journal*, 128(13), 16.
- Albanese, A. (2004). Report: Open access cheaper. *Library Journal*, 129(10), 24.
- Albanese, A. (2004). Societies seek STM middle ground. *Library Journal*, 129(7), 17.
- Albanese, A. (2004). BioMed Central changes track. *Library Journal*, 129(5), 17.
- Aldrick, P. (Ed.). (2004, August 6). Reed makes for good reading. *The Daily Telegraph* (London), p. 34.
- Anderson, R. (2004, April). Open access in the real word. *College & Research Libraries News*, 65(4), 206.
- Ashling, J. (2004). World summit embraces open access, libraries. *Information Today*, 21(2), 1.



- Ashling, J. (2003). Open access and the public domain. *Information Today*, 20(5), 27.
- Banks, M. (2004). Connections between open access publishing and access to gray literature. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, 92(2), 164,
- Baum, R. M. (2004). The open-access myth. *Chemical & Engineering News*, 82(8), 3.
- Bergstrom, C. T., & Berstrom, T. C. (2004). The costs and benefits of library site licenses to academic journals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(3), 897-902.
- Bilder, G. (2003). Ingenta's economic and technical models for providing institutional OA archives. *Information Services & Use*, 23(2/3), 111.
- Bolman, P. (2003). Open access: marginal or core phenomenon? A commercial publisher's view. *Information Services & Use*, 23(2/3), 93.
- Brown, D. (2004). Open-access journals offer a new way of publishing. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 104(7), 1060-1062.
- Butler, D. (2003). Scientific publishing: who will pay for open access? *Nature*, 425(6958), 554.
- Case, M. A. (2003, February). Framing the issue: open access. *ARL*, 226, 8.
- Case, M. M., & Adler, P. S. (2002, February). Promoting open access: developing new strategies for managing copyright and intellectual property. *ARL*, 220, 1.
- Chan, L., Cuplinskas, D., & Eisen, M. B. (2002, February). Budapest open access initiative. *ARL*, 220, 8.
- Cookson, C. (2004, July 20). Call for shake-up in way scientific journals provided research findings. *Financial Times* (London), p. 4.
- Crawford, B. D. (2003). Open-access publishing: where is the value? *Lancet*, 362(9395), 1578.
- Crawford, W. (2004). The Crawford files. *American Libraries*, 35(5), 56.
- Crawford, W. (2004, February). OpenURL meets open access. *American Libraries*, 35(2), 52.



- Crow, R., & Goldstein, H. (2003). *Model business plan: a supplemental guide for open access journal developers and publishers*. New York: Open Society Institute.
- Davidson, K. (2003, October 27). Bay Area leads revolt against scientific journals; Scientists call for boycott, launch open-access project. *The San Francisco Chronicle*, p. A4.
- Delamothe, T., & Smith, R. (2004). Open access publishing takes off. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 328(7430), 1 & 3.
- Doyle, H. J. (2004, March). The Public Library of Science. *College & Research Libraries News*, 65(3), 134.
- Doyle, H., Gass, A., & Lappin, D. (2003). A changing landscape. *PLoS Biology*, 1(3), 301.
- Doyle, H., Gass, A., & Kennison, R. (2004). Open access and scientific societies. *PLoS Biology*, 2(5), 549.
- Esposito, J. J. (2 August, 2004). The devil you don't know: the unexpected future of open access. *First Monday*, 9(8). Retrieved September 17, 2004, from http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issue9_8/esposito/
- Ewing, J. (2003, October 9). 'Open access' will not be open to everyone. *Nature*, 425, 559.
- Falk, H. (2003). Open-access journal developments. *The Electronic Library*, 21(6), 609.
- Foster, A. L., & Guterman, L. (2004). American and British lawmakers endorse open-access publishing. *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 50(47), A13.
- Gadd, E., Oppenheim, C., & Proberts, S. (2003). RoMEO Studies 3: how academics expect to use open-access research papers. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 35(3), 171.
- Gadd, E., Oppenheim, C., & Proberts, S. (2003, July). The RoMEO Project: protecting metadata in an open access environment computer files. *Ariadne* (Online), 36.
- Gadd, E., Oppenheim, C., & Proberts, S. (2003). RoMEO studies 2: how academics want to protect their open-access research papers. *Journal of Information Science*, 29(5), 333.



- Goodman, D., & Velterop, J. (2003). Challenging current publishing models. *The Serials Librarian*, 44(1/2), 73-75.
- Goodman, D. (2000). *What journals, if any, should still be printed*. In M. E. Williams, National online meeting proceedings – 2000: proceedings of the 21st National Online Meeting, New York, May 16-18, 2000 (pp. 129-136). Medford: Information Today.
- Gradmann, S. (2003). Figaro and open access to electronic information objects. *Information Services & Use*, 23(2/3), 175.
- Gruss, P. (2004, January 16). Open access to science and culture. *Science*, 303, 311-312.
- Guedon, J. (2003). Open access archives: from scientific plutocracy to the republic of science. *IFLA Journal*, 29(2), 129.
- Guterman, L. (2004, January). The promise and peril of open access. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 50(21), A10-A12, A14.
- Hane, P. J., & Peek, R. (2002). The great BOAI experiment. *Information Today*, 19(4), 40.
- Harnad, S., Brody, T., Valliere, F., Carr, L., et a. (2004). *The green and the gold roads to open access*. Retrieved from September 17, 2004 from Nature Web site: <http://www.nature.com/nature/focus/accessdebate/21.html>
- Harnad, S. (2004). Accelerating the transition to the optimal and inevitable. *Information Today*, 21(5), 16.
- Held, M. J. (2004). Open-access publishing. *Lancet*, 364(9428), 24.
- Holmström, J. (2004, January). The cost per article reading of open access articles. *D-Lib Magazine*, 10(1).
- Horton, R. (2003). 21st-century biomedical journals: failures and futures. *Lancet*, 362(9395), 1510.
- Jacso, P. (2003). Open access archives of abstracts. *Online Information Review*, 27(3), 609.
- Johnson, R. (2002, October). SPARC and ACRL. *College & Research Libraries News*, 63(9), 648.
- Kaiser, J. (2004). ...Congress puts similar heat on NIH. *Science*, 305(5683), 458.



- Kaser, D. (2003). The future of journals. *Information Today*, 20(3), 1.
- Kaser, D. (2004). Quid pro quo. *Information Today*, 21(3), 14.
- Kircz, J. G. (2002). New practices for electronic publishing 2: new forms of the scientific paper. *New Practices for Electronic Publishing*, 15, 27-32.
- Peek, R. (2004). The free-access debate flourishes. *Information Today*, 21(5), 17.
- Lindblad, W. J. (2004). Why is open-access publishing the answer? *Wound Repair & Regeneration*, 12(4), 395.
- Lorimer, R. (2003). Online social science and humanities journal publishing in Canada and SYNERGIES Project. *Serials Librarian*, 45(2), 26.
- Malakoff, D., Edna, F. (2003). Opening the books on open access. *Science*, 302(5645), 550.
- Malakoff, D. (2004). Scientific societies lay out 'free access' principles. *Science*, 303(5666), 1959.
- Mayor, S. (2003). Libraries face higher costs for academic journals. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 326(7394), 840.
- Medeiros, N. (2004). Of budgets boycotts: the battle over open access publishing. *OCLC Systems & Services*, 20(1), 7.
- Morrissey, S. (2004). NIH weighs open access. *Chemical & Engineering News*, 82(36), 14.
- Nature Publishing Group. (2004). Nature web focus: access to the literature: the debate continues. Retrieved September 17, 2004, <http://www.nature.com/nature/focus/accessdebate/>
- Neal, J. G. (2001). Symbiosis or alienation: advancing the university press/research library relationship through electronic scholarly communication. *Journal of Library Administration*, 35(1/2), 5-18.
- Neal, J. G. (1997). The serials revolution: vision, innovation, tradition. *The Serials Librarian*, 30(3-4), 97-105.



- Neal, J. G. (1999). *Project MUSE*. In N. Cline, *Leading the agile organization: Association of Research Libraries proceedings of the 128th meeting, Vancouver, British Columbia, May 15-17, 1996* (p. 218). Washington: Association of Research Libraries.
- O'Grady, R. T. (2003). Open access? Open wallets! *BioScience*, 53(11), 1027.
- Ojala, M. (2003). Intro to open access: the Public Library of Science. *EContent*, 26(10), 11.
- Open Access News. (2004). Retrieved September 17, 2004, from <http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/fosblog.html>
- Open Archives Initiative. [n. d.]. Retrieved September 17, 2004 from <http://www.openarchives.org/index.html>
- Orphan, S. (2003, September). SPARC announces open access newsletter. *College & Research Libraries News*, 64(8), 503.
- Peek, R. (2004). Open access expands its reach. *Information Today*, 21(1), 17.
- Peek, R. (2004). The cascading effect. *Information Today*, 21(8), 17.
- Peek, R. (2004). The free-access debate flourishes. *Information Today*, 21(5), 17.
- Plutchak, T. S. (2004). Embracing open access. *Journal of the Medical Library Association*, 92(1), 1 & 3.
- Prosser, D. (2003). Institutional repositories and open access: the future of scholarly communication. *Information Services & Use*, 23(2/3), 167.
- Prosser, D. (2003, April). On the transition of journals to open access. *ARL*, 227, 1.
- Regazzi, J. J. (2004). The battle for mindshare: a battle beyond access and retrieval: 2004 Miles Conrad Memorial Lecture, February 23, 2004. *Information Services & Use*, 24(2), 83.
- Romero, M. (2003). Open access and the case for public good: the scientists' perspective. *Online*, 27(4), 32.
- Sarasohn, J. (2004, September 2). Accessibility battle fields. *The Washington Post*, p. A21.



- Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition. (2004). *SPARC*. Retrieved September 17, 2004, from <http://www.arl.org/sparc/>
- Shigo, K. (2003). Open access journals directory underway. *Computers in Libraries*, 23(5), 45.
- Suber, P. [n. d.]. SPARC Open Access Newsletter and Free Online Scholarship (FOS) Newsletter Archive. Retrieved September 17, 2004, from <http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/newsletter/archive.htm>
- Suber, P. (2003, February). Removing barriers to research. *College & Research Libraries News*, 64(2), 92.
- Suber, P. (2004). Open Access Overview. Retrieved September 17, 2004, from <http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/overview.htm>
- Suber, P. (Ed.). (2004). Open Access News. Retrieved September 17, 2004, from <http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/fos/fosblog.html>
- Tamber, P. S., Godlee, F., & Newmark, P. (2003, November 8). Open access to peer-reviewed research: making it happen. *Lancet*, 362, 1575-1577.
- Tennant, R. (2003). Open-access journals. *Library Journal*, 128(17), 26.
- Van Orsdel, L., & Born, K. (2004). Closing in on open access. *Library Journal*, 129(7), 45.
- Varki, A. (2003, November 27). Open access: the JCI has already shown it works. *Nature*, 426, 383.
- Vergano, D. (2004, August 30). Scientists press for access to research. *The Seattle Times*, p. A4.
- Walport, M. (2004). Everyone's a winner. *Times Higher Education Supplement*, 1639, 14.
- Wray, R. (2004, July 20). Business: Media business: MPs back free access to research results. *The Guardian* (London), p. 17.



Selected List of Open Access Projects:

AERA SIG Communication of Research: Ejournals in Education

<http://aera-cr.asu.edu/ejournals/>

arXiv.org e-Print archive

<http://arxiv.org/>

BioMed Central

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/>

Budapest Open Access Initiative

<http://www.soros.org/openaccess/>

Directory of Open Access Journals

<http://www.doaj.org/>

EPrints.org

<http://www.eprints.org/>

HighWire

<http://www.highwire.org/>

Open Citation Project

<http://opcit.eprints.org/>

PLoS Biology: Open-Access Journal

<http://www.plosbiology.org/plosonline/?request=index-html>

Public Library of Science

<http://www.publiclibraryofscience.org/>

PubMed Central

<http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/>

SCIELO

<http://www.scielo.org/index.php?lang=en>

